UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,409	10/01/2003	Lihui Zhang	6741P002	8065

45062   7590   12/21/2007
SAP/BLAKELY
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER
IWARERE, OLUSEYE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4127	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *01 December 2007*.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-19* is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-19* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on *01 October 2003* is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All   b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

        1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

        2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

        3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1.   Amendment received on December 1, 2007 has been acknowledged. Newly

amended claims 10, 14 and 19 have been entered. Therefore, claims 1 – 19 are

pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2.   The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

       A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a

foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to

the date of application for patent in the United States.

3.   **Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7 – 10, 13 – 16, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35**

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arunapuram et al. (2002/0019759).

       As per claim 1, Arunapuram et al. teaches, an apparatus comprising:

a demand order module including a set of products to be shipped to a target location

([0034]; via specifically, referring to FIG. 2, after shipping orders are received 201, a first

manager module);

       an order guideline module including a set of constraints for a shipment from one

of a set of a source locations to the target location ([0034]; via the problem-solver ("PS")

module 300 of FIG. 3, plans at step 202 optimal freight movements between a initial

pick-up location and a final drop-off location);

a route determination module to select the set of source locations having the set

of products based on a cost factor and a utilization of a capacity of a set of transports

([0034]; via finally, at step 204, a third manager module, the freight payment ("FP")

module 500 of FIG. 5, accounts for incurred costs for the executed freight movements,

allocates the costs to orders received in step 201) ([0018] Lowest-cost alternatives are

then identified to make the planned freight movements. Throughout the above functions,

the problem-solver generates the most efficient load consolidations and makes the

least-costly carrier and private fleet assignments within the constraints imposed by the

orders and the transportation planning manager);

and a processing device to execute the route determination module ([0034]; via

at step 203, the optimal freight movements are planned in step 202 are executed and

tracked by a second manager module, the execution ("EX") module 400 of FIG. 4).

As per claim 2, Arunapuram et al. teaches, further comprising:

a storage device to store at least one of the demand order module, order

guideline module, and the route determination module ([0007]; via the parcel ID and its

location information are then transmitted by the host computer to one or more web

servers, each including a database for storing a record of the parcel ID's scanned at

each location).

As per claim 4, Arunapuram et al. teaches, a method comprising:

identifying a set of source locations having a set of desired resources

for a target location ([0034]; via the problem-solver ("PS") module 300 of FIG. 3, plans

at step 202 optimal freight movements between a initial pick-up location and a final

drop-off location).

prioritizing a set of shipping rule groups based on a cost factor associated with

the set of source locations and the target location ([0055]; via a particularly

advantageous feature of the present invention involves the use of priority routing rules

in the PS database that enable a transportation planning manager to influence the

creation of loads and freight movements when lowest cost is not the most important

consideration);

and selecting a subset of the set of source locations and a subset of the shipping

rule groups based on the cost factor and a utilization of a capacity of a set of transports

([0055]; via typically, after it identifies all potential suitable freight movements for each

order, the PS logic identifies the lowest cost transportation solution).

As per claim 5, Arunapuram et al. teaches, wherein selecting comprises:

searching iteratively through the set of shipping rule groups ([0113]; Once

received, carriers can review tender offers and electronically provide an acceptance or

decline (the EX monitoring this acceptance/decline communication at step 606) of the

tender offer to the execution module 400 via response interface 412. The EX logic can

then re-route any declined orders back to the problem-solver module 300 as

unexecuted orders 411 through unexecuted freight movement interface 410 for

selection of a different carrier or transportation solution. Fig. 6 also illustrates iterative

searching through a control loop) in order of priority for a shipping solution ([0055]; A

particularly advantageous feature of the present invention involves the use of priority

routing rules in the PS database that enable a transportation planning manager to

influence the creation of loads and freight movements when lowest cost is not the most

important consideration).

As per Claim 7, Arunapuram et al. teaches, wherein the set of shipping rule

groups includes a default group of shipping rules ([0057]; via These rates are specified

in a plurality of tables which are stored in the PS database 402 for use during batch

runs. such rate tables are stored therein for each carrier type).

As per claim 8, Arunapuram et al. teaches, wherein the utilization of the capacity

of the set of transports is a maximum utilization ([0058]; via when the PS logic begins its

batch run at step 603 to generate an optimal freight movement plan (for all orders

received since its last batch run) it performs several sub-steps which are detailed in

FIG. 7).

As per claim 9, Arunapuram et al. teaches, further comprising:

altering a size of a shipment to utilize a maximum capacity of the set

of transports ([0059]; via during a batch run, the problem-solver logic 301 first

consolidates various orders and shipments into transportation loads at sub-step 701.

Then, a determination is made at sub-step 702 for each load as to the best shipping

mode).

As per claim 10, Arunapuram et al. teaches, an apparatus comprising:

a means for determining a set of source locations of a set of resources ([0054];

via define route planning rules, create templates that define legs for multiple leg routes

and multiple mode routes (the entering of such templates, while done at step 601));

a means for ordering a set of shipping rule groups and a subset of source

locations based on a cost of shipping to a target location from the set of source

locations ([0059]; via the system uses various types of information including data

detailing the required freight movements, tables itemizing resource availability and cost,

operational requirements of the industry, and general company rules and policies

entered by the company's transportation planning manager);

and a means for selecting a subset of shipping rule groups and a subset of

source locations ([0010]; This functionality would also allow an organization to

dynamically select crossdock and pool point locations (i.e., transportation hubs or

through-points) based upon the organization's business requirements and costs) based

on the cost of shipping the set of resources from a subset of the set of source locations

to the target location and utilization of a set of transports ([0055]; via a particularly

advantageous feature of the present invention involves the use of priority routing rules

in the PS database that enable a transportation planning manager to influence the

creation of loads and freight movements when lowest cost is not the most important

consideration);

As per claim 12, Arunapuram et al. teaches, wherein the set of shipping rule

groups includes a default shipping rule group ([0054]; via transportation planning

managers can, for example, by using the manager interface 404, define route planning

rules, create templates that define legs for multiple leg routes and multiple mode routes

(the entering of such templates, while done at step 601 prior to a batch run, will be

discussed in detail below with respect to step 603).

As per claim 13, Arunapuram et al. teaches, further comprising:

a means for determining all source locations having the set of resources ([0034];

via the problem-solver ("PS") module 300 of FIG. 3, plans at step 202 optimal freight

movements between a initial pick-up location and a final drop-off location).

As per claim 14, Arunapuram et al. teaches, a machine readable medium

containing therein a set of instructions which when executed cause a machine to

perform a set of operations comprising (pg 18, col. 1, lines 59 - 61; via program storage

device readable by a machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable

by a machine to perform method steps for managing transportation operations for a

plurality of orders):

identifying a set of source locations having a set of products for a target location (pg 18, col. 1, lines 64 - 65; via planning a freight movement between a initial pick-up location and a final drop-off location);

prioritizing a set of order guidelines based on a cost factor for shipping the set of products from the set of source locations to the target location (pg 18, col. 2, lines 6 - 10; via wherein said planning step comprises the sub-steps of generating a plurality of potential freight movements to satisfy each order and identifying the lowest cost freight movement from said plurality of potential freight movements);

and determining a subset of order guidelines and a subset of source locations (([0010]; This functionality would also allow an organization to dynamically select crossdock and pool point locations (i.e., transportation hubs or through-points) based upon the organization's business requirements and costs) based on the cost factor and utilization of a capacity of a set of transports (pg 18, col. 2, lines 49 - 52; via wherein said accounting step comprises the sub-steps of receiving invoices from carriers for executed freight movements, allocating actual costs detailed in said invoices to orders).

As per claim 15, Arunapuram et al. teaches, the machine readable medium of claim 14, having further instructions stored therein, which when executed cause a machine to perform a set of operations, further comprising (pg 18, col. 1, lines 59 - 61; via program storage device readable by a machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by a machine to perform method steps for managing transportation operations for a plurality of orders):

searching iteratively through the set of order guidelines in order of priority for a

shipping solution (pg 18, col. 2, lines 13 - 15; via wherein said plurality of potential

freight movements are of types selected from the group consisting of direct routes from

origin to destination).

As per claim 16, Arunapuram et al. teaches, the machine readable medium of

claim 14, wherein the set of order guidelines includes a default order guideline (pg 18,

col. 2, lines 43 – 46; via wherein said status updates are used to automatically update

records contained in an order database, said database being accessible by customers,

carriers, and locations to review the status of select orders).

As per claim 18, Arunapuram et al. teaches, wherein no product of the set of

products is associated with more than one default order guideline ([0038]; via orders

received through the order interface 306 have a single origin/destination pair).

As per claim 19, Arunapuram et al. teaches, having further instructions stored

therein, which when executed cause a machine to perform a set of operations, further

comprising (pg. 18, col. 1, lines 59 - 61; via program storage device readable by a

machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by a machine to

perform method steps for managing transportation operations for a plurality of orders):

altering a size of a shipment to utilize a maximum capacity of the set of

transports (pg. 19, col. 2, lines 3 - 7; via wherein said problem-solver constructs said

optimal freight movements in batch runs, and wherein said batch runs comprise

generating a plurality of potential freight movements to satisfy each order).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed
> or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the
> subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject
> matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made
> to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.
> Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was
> made.

5.   The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148

USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining

obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

> 1.   Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
> 2.   Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
> 3.   Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
> 4.   Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating
>   obviousness or nonobviousness.

6.   **Claims 3, 6, 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being**

unpatentable over Arunapuram et al. (2002/0019759) in view of Cappellini

(2003/0014286).

As per claim 3, Arunapuram et. al. discloses the claimed invention but fails to explicitly disclose, a loading module to simulate a loading of the shipment of the set of products into the set of transports.

Cappellini teaches a search and retrieval system of transportation-related flexibility defined paths, with the feature of a loading module to simulate a loading of the shipment of the set of products into the set of transports ([0181]; in the preferred embodiment, it is a multidimensional spatial system capable of handling the three dimensions of a physical object, i.e. the width, length and height and the coordinate position within a predetermined space, for example a container) ([0182]; These types of applications can make a mathematical model of the required transport capacities such as the loads, as well as of the available transport capacities such as the cargo containers, in order to substantially simulate the loading conditions within the containing space.) ([0183]; Apart from performing loading operations and optimizations, this type of application can be used or easily adapted for the sole simple use of determining availability of space or capacity, for a new required transport capacity, i.e. to check if a load can conveniently fit in a transporting container that is empty or partially full with other loads).

From this teaching of Cappellini, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the transportation planning, execution and freight payment manager method of Arunapuram, to include the simulation of loading shipments taught by Cappellini in order to evaluate the feasibility of a shipping arrangement.

As per claim 6, Arunapuram et. al. discloses the claimed invention but fails to explicitly disclose, wherein selecting comprises:

simulating iteratively until the set of desired resources is loaded into the set of transportation units.

Cappellini teaches a search and retrieval system of transportation-related flexibility defined paths, with the feature of simulating iteratively the fulfillment of each group of the set of shipping rules in priority order until the set of desired resources is loaded into the set of transportation units ([0712] In the search to find a possible combination, the system now repeats the processes of FIG. 7 which were applied to an origin-destination pair, to every combination of origin-related first generation path waypoints) ([0182] These types of applications can make a mathematical model of the required transport capacities such as the loads, as well as of the available transport capacities such as the cargo containers, in order to substantially simulate the loading conditions within the containing space).

From this teaching of Cappellini, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the transportation planning, execution and freight payment manager method of Arunapuram, to include the iterative simulation of loading shipments taught by Cappellini in order to find the most desired shipping arrangement.

As per claim 11, Arunapuram et al. discloses the claimed invention but fails to explicitly disclose a means for simulating the loading of the set of transports.

Cappellini teaches a search and retrieval system of transportation-related flexibility defined paths, with the feature of a means for simulating the loading of the set of transports([0181]; in the preferred embodiment, it is a multidimensional spatial system capable of handling the three dimensions of a physical object, i.e. the width, length and height and the coordinate position within a predetermined space, for example a container) ([0182]; These types of applications can make a mathematical model of the required transport capacities such as the loads, as well as of the available transport capacities such as the cargo containers, in order to substantially simulate the loading conditions within the containing space.) ([0183]; Apart from performing loading operations and optimizations, this type of application can be used or easily adapted for the sole simple use of determining availability of space or capacity, for a new required transport capacity, i.e. to check if a load can conveniently fit in a transporting container that is empty or partially full with other loads).

From this teaching of Cappellini, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the _ of Arunapuram, to include the simulation of loading shipments taught by Cappellini in order to evaluate the feasibility of a shipping arrangement.

As per claim 17, Arunapuram et. al. discloses the claimed invention but fails to explicitly disclose, wherein selecting comprises:

simulating iteratively until the set of desired resources is loaded into the set of

transportation units.

Cappellini teaches a search and retrieval system of transportation-related

flexibility defined paths, with the feature of simulating iteratively the fulfillment of each

group of the set of shipping rules in priority order until the set of desired resources is

loaded into the set of transportation units ([0712] In the search to find a possible

combination, the system now repeats the processes of FIG. 7 which were applied to an

origin-destination pair, to every combination of origin-related first generation path

waypoints) ([0182] These types of applications can make a mathematical model of the

required transport capacities such as the loads, as well as of the available transport

capacities such as the cargo containers, in order to substantially simulate the loading

conditions within the containing space).

From this teaching of Cappellini, it would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the transportation

planning, execution and freight payment manager method of Arunapuram, to include the

iterative simulation of loading shipments taught by Cappellini in order to find the most

desired shipping arrangement.

Response to Arguments

7.   Applicant's arguments filed 12/01/2007 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive.

Applicant argues "Arunapuram et al. is silent regarding a route determination to select the set of source locations having the set of products based on… a utilization of a capacity of a set transports, since the source location appears to already have been selected prior to any consideration of consolidation by the problem-solver." The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

It is noted in the prior art, the proposed carriers are set by the problem-solver module, therefore the selection is made by the problem-solver itself ([0018]; A route planning manager, in the form of a problem-solver module, uses a sophisticated load building algorithm as herein described to identify and compare possible alternative freight movements from various potential route and stop sequences, modes of transport, and carriers. The decision making rules and information the problem-solver uses to make optional decisions regarding pending transportation orders derives from business parameters that a transportation planning manager establishes for the system and from carrier availability and rate table information provided by external or fleet carriers). Arunapuram et al. also further discloses determining to select the set of source locations ([0010]; This functionality would also allow an organization to dynamically select crossdock and pool point locations (i.e., transportation hubs or through-points)).

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3, 6, 11 and 17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Oluseye Iwarere whose telephone number is (571) 270-5112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7:30am to 5 (EDT). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynda Jasmin can be reached on (571) 272-3033. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OI

/Lynda Jasmin/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4127